LAW OFFICES

Peckar & Abramson Professional Corporation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AND ALL RELATED COUNTER-CLAIMS AND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINTS.

Case No.:

Judge:

Hon. Charles R. Breyer

DECLARATION OF VINCENT C. PETITO IN SUPPORT OF STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT BY DICK/MORGANTI; DICK CORPORATION; THE 3:07-CV-02564-CRB MORGANTI GROUP, INC.; AMERICAN CASUALTY COMPANY OF READING, PA; CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY; AND NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA

8

11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

LAW OFFICES Peckar & Abramson A Professional Corporation

T.	Vincent	C.	Petito.	declare	as	follows:
1.	V IIICCIIL	$\sim$ .	I Cuio.	acciaic	uD	10110 110

- Unless otherwise indicated herein, the facts set forth below are personally known 1. to me and if called upon I am willing and able to testify in support of these facts.
- I am a Project Manager for Defendant Dick Corporation, a partner of the Dick/Morganti joint venture ("D/M"), and I have worked extensively in that capacity on the construction project known as the San Francisco Federal Building located near 7<sup>th</sup> and Mission Streets in San Francisco ("Project").
- 3. I have detailed knowledge of work performed by Performance Contracting, Inc. ("PCI") for the Project, and I have attended many meetings with PCI regarding the Project, including numerous meetings with PCI's James Strout, George DeMartini, and Gene Concannon.
- I have read the February 20, 2008 Declaration of Eugene D. Concannon In 4. Support Of Performance Contracting Inc.'s Status Conference Statement ("Declaration").
- I attended the December 2006, April 2007, and May 2007 meetings referenced in 5. paragraphs 3 through 5 of Mr. Concannon's Declaration. While it is true that PCI brought documentation to these meetings, neither I nor any other D/M official were allowed to look at or make copies of the documentation.
- D/M has never been allowed to review or copy these documents that supposedly 6. refute D/M's back charges against PCI. Mr. Concannon has specifically stated to me and other D/M officials that D/M would not be allowed to review the material until a liquidating agreement between D/M and PCI was signed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 2 Itday of February, 2008 at Pitsburg , Pennsylvania.

INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA